

**Table 5 Probability of refusals and its explanatory factors in the ESS Hungarian survey (logit models, reference categories in brackets)**

	Model 1: Settlement characteristics			Model 2: settlement + fieldwork characteristics			Full model: settlement + fieldwork + respondent characteristics		
	B	Exp(B)	sig	B	Exp(B)	Sig	B	Exp(B)	sig
To be explained: refusals									
<b>Settlement size categories (inhabitants in 2014, ref: 10,001–50,000)</b>									
–2,000	-0.02	0.98		-0.03	0.97		-0.06	0.94	
2,001–10,000	0.09	1.10		0.07	1.07		0.03	1.03	
50,001 – city	-0.18	0.84		-0.36	0.70	***	-0.38	0.69	**
<b>Community wealth categories (per capita tax capacity of the settlement, ref: HUF 10,000–34,999)</b>									
–HUF 9,999	0.18	1.20		0.21	1.23	*	0.24	1.27	*
HUF 35,000+ cities (except Budapest)	0.52	1.69	***	0.50	1.65	***	0.50	1.64	*
Budapest	0.95	2.59	***	1.18	3.25	***	0.72	2.06	*
<b>Physical state of neighbourhood (interviewer judgement, ref: adequate)</b>									
Good or very good	0.22	1.25	***	0.24	1.27	**	0.19	1.21	*
Bad or very bad	-0.66	0.52	***	-0.79	0.45	***	-0.71	0.49	*
<b>Gender of respondent (ref: male)</b>									
Female				-0.02	0.98		-0.02	0.98	
<b>Age category of respondent (birth cohorts, ref: 1953–1972)</b>									
1988–				-0.30	0.74	***	-0.30	0.74	**
1973–1987				-0.14	0.87		-0.16	0.85	
–1952				0.16	1.18		0.16	1.18	
<b>Completed education of respondent (ref: vocational or less)</b>									
Upper secondary				-0.28	0.75	**	-0.26	0.77	*
Tertiary				-0.30	0.74		-0.26	0.77	
<b>Income categories (monthly, net, household, ref: HUF 181,000–240,000)</b>									
HUF 125,000 or below				-0.18	0.83		-0.15	0.86	
HUF 126,000–180,000				-0.30	0.74		-0.21	0.81	
HUF 241,000–300,000				0.68	1.97	***	0.69	1.99	*
HUF 301,000–400,000				0.90	2.46	***	0.86	2.37	*
HUF 401,000 +				0.49	1.64		0.42	1.52	
<b>Subjective material situation (ref: easy)</b>									
Get by				0.86	2.35	***	0.78	2.17	**
Difficult				1.12	3.06	***	1.10	3.00	*
Very difficult				1.29	3.65	***	1.36	3.90	*
<b>Gender of interviewer (ref: male)</b>									
Female							0.00	1.00	
<b>Age category of the interviewer (ref: 1953–1972)</b>									
1988–							-1.00	0.37	**
1973–1987							0.45	1.57	**

									*	
-1952								-0.21	0.81	
<b>Education of the interviewer (ref: upper secondary)</b>										
Vocational or less								-0.06	0.94	
Tertiary								-0.79	0.45	**
<b>Stability of the interviewer (ref: different interviewer)</b>										
Same interviewer								-0.01	0.99	
<b>Constant</b>		-0.70				-2.59			-2.04	
-2 log likelihood		4736				4130			3914	
Cox & Snell R <sup>2</sup>		0.03				0.17			0.20	
Nagelkerke R <sup>2</sup>		0.04				0.24			0.28	
Overall percentage of correct predictions		69.70				74.40			76.30	

Note: Level of significance \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*<0.001. General information on all variables involved in the models can be found in Table A1 in the Appendix.

Source: ESS, N=3,954.