

Additional Material WWW

Table 1: Experimental Design

Dimensions	Levels		
	1	2	3
1 Observability of place	Wide square	Narrow underpass	
2 Physical decay, littering	No	Yes	
3 Unsupervised youth	Couples goes for walk	Teenagers hanging around	
4 Adult passers-by	Passers	No passers	
5 Surveillance / lighting	Bright situation through lighting	Gloomy situation, but video surveillance	Gloomy situation without surveillance

Note: Cartesian product of dimensions and levels $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3 = 48$ unique situations

Table 2: Parallelization of experimental groups, sample: estimation model

	TOTAL		TEXT		PHOTO	
	N	Percent / M	N	Percent / M	N	Percent / M
RESPONDENTS'						
CHARACTERISTICS						
Gender						
<i>Male</i>	378	35.86	189	36.91	189	34.90
<i>Female</i>	676	64.14	323	63.09	353	65.13
			$\chi^2 = 0.48, p = 0.49$			
Age		24.07		24.14		24.01
			$t = 0.36, p = 0.36$			
Experience						
<i>Never</i>	45	4.27	29	5.66	16	2.95
<i>One – two times</i>	243	23.06	140	27.34	103	19.00
<i>Often</i>	766	72.68	343	66.99	423	78.04
			$\chi^2 = 16.90, p = 0.00$			
Evaluation as realistic						
<i>No</i>	55	5.22	33	6.45	22	4.06
<i>Yes</i>	999	94.78	479	93.55	520	95.94
			$\chi^2 = 3.03, p = 0.08$			
N_{respondents}	1054	100.0	512	100.0	542	100.0
Note: Test statistics for age, gender, experience at respondents' level.						

Table 3: Distributions of the dependent variable “fear of crime” as reported feelings of unsafety; sample: estimation model

Feelings of unsafety	Both		Written Vignettes		Photo Vignettes	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
Very secure	1880	23.13	876	22.96	1004	23.28
Secure	3619	44.52	1715	44.94	1904	44.15
Unsecure	2028	24.95	971	25.45	1057	24.51
Very unsecure	602	7.41	254	6.66	348	8.07
Total	8129	100.00	3816	100.00	4313	100.00
M	1.17		1.16		1.17	
SD	0.87		0.85		0.88	

T-test: $t = -0,813$, $p = 0.208$

U-test: $z = -0,471$, $p = 0.638$